



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/752,464 | 09/752,464 01/03/2001 | | Hideki Yamanaka | 826.1662 | 826.1662 1562 | | |
| 21171 | 7590 | 03/18/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| STAAS & F SUITE 700 | HALSEY | LLP | STRANGE, AARON N | | | | |
| 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| WASHINGT | | • | | 2153 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/752,464 | YAMANAKA, HIDEKI | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Aaron Strange | 2153 | | |

| | Aaron Strange | 2153 | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | THE REPLY FILED 22 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | ment, affidavit, or other evidence, val fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of t | which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque | ication in state of the state o | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | TINGT NEFET WAST | ILLD WITTIN | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Approximate Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS | 1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of | ns of the date of filing | the Notice of | | | | | |
| | hut prior to the data of filing a brief | . will not be entered b | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | aucing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See attachment</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and | | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | * ** | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | (, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | Il be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-6,8-17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fai | ils to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | it does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | √o(s) | | | | | | |
| | Legisland of the second | ENTON B. BURGESS | | | | | | |
| | | ISORY PATENT EXAM | | | | | | |

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Application/Control Number: 09/752,464

Art Unit: 2153

1. The proposed amendments filed 2/22/2005 have not been entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. New issues raised include at least the issues cited below.

Page 2

- 2. In claim 2, the limitation "in an application protocol level where a size of a data transfer window in a transport protocol level can be changed" is a new limitation that was not previously considered. A similar limitation has been added to claims 6, 10, 11, 12, 16, and 17.
- 3. In claim 2, the limitation "so that a connection with a converted window size in the transport protocol level can be used continuously" is a new limitation that was not previously considered. A similar limitation has been added to claims 6, 10, 11, 12, 16, and 17.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron Strange whose telephone number is 571-272-3959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,464

Art Unit: 2153

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 3

AS 3/16/2005